///

///

///

- 2. On March 18, 2008, this Court issued an Order Requiring Response to the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus. The Answer is currently due on May 16, 2008. I have not previously requested any extensions of time in this matter.
- 3. On or about March 27, 2008, my paralegal ordered the relevant inmate records from the correctional facility where Petitioner is housed. I received those records on or about April 18, 2008. Upon reviewing the records, I discovered that some of the requested documents were not sent to me. I have therefore made a second request for those documents. I need additional time because I anticipate that it will take some time to receive and review the requested records.
- 4. In addition, I have several previous assignments requiring my attention. Specifically, I have responsive pleadings due in the following previously assigned state and federal habeas corpus matters: Carrizosa v. Woodford (05-CV-01935-IEG (LSP)), supplemental pleading due on May 14, 2008, on a first extension of time; Lopez v. Sedley (CV 08-978-JVS (OP)), response due on May 20, 2008, on a first extension of time; Harris v. Felker (CV-07-2216-JAH (VLM)), due on May 20, 2008, on a first extension of time; In re Masoner, opening brief due on June 13, 2008 on a second extension of time; and Clark v. Hernandez (08-CV-0399-H (PCL)), due on July 7, 2008, on a first extension of time. In addition, within the past thirty days, I have completed responsive pleadings in ten state and federal habeas corpus matters.
- 5. I am therefore respectfully requesting a sixty-day extension of time, through July 14, 2008, to file and serve the Answer in this matter. This is my first request for an extension of time in this matter and I do not anticipate requesting further extensions of time. This request is made in good faith and is based on good cause as set forth above. This request is not made to harass Petitioner, to delay the outcome of this matter, or for any other improper purpose.
- 6. I do not believe that Petitioner will be prejudiced by a delay in the consideration of his claims. Allowing respondent the brief amount of time required to prepare a proper response will serve the interests of justice without materially prejudicing or unduly benefitting either side.

7. Because Petitioner is appearing in pro se and is currently incarcerated, I have not contacted him to ascertain whether he has any objection to this request for an extension of time. Petitioner will receive notice of this application by mail at the address he provided to the Court.

I declare under penalty of perjury and the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 14th day of May, 2008, at San Diego, California.

/S/ Kim Aarons KIM AARONS